

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,903	06/09/2000	Kazuto Kobayashi	0965-0303P	1622
7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			TRAN, LEN	
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rans Church, V	A 22040-0747		1725	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/00/200	A

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/588,903	KOBAYASHI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Len Tran	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1\⊠	Posponsivo to communication(a) filed on (	00 June 2000						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 June 2000</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
	,—			! <b>_</b>				
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
13) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § e first sentence of the specifica	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sh					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen		□						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

Application/Control Number: 09/588,903

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 3-179672, and further in view of JP 62-279264 and JP 4-325401 or Verrill et al (US 5,938,800).

JP '672 discloses an apparatus for producing hydrogen by a steam reforming reaction, on a catalyst, of a hydrocarbon as raw material comprising a hydrogen separation type reformer which has a means for heating and a hydrogen separation membrane and a hydrogen charge/discharge means and a pressure regulating means (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,903

Art Unit: 1725

JP '672 fails to disclose a fluid for cooling the hydrogen via a heat exchanger and wherein the hydrogen charge/discharge is a hydrogen storing alloy.

Jp '401 discloses using a heat exchanger to improve a gaseous hydrogen generating efficiency and to decrease required energy in the gaseous hydrogen production by steam reforming (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a heat exchanger as taught by IP '401, in IP '672 in order to improve efficiency.

In addition, Verrill et al disclose cooling the hydrogen to free of impurities (col. 3, lines 46-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to cool the hydrogen as taught by Verrill et al, in JP '672 in order to free of most impurities.

JP '264 discloses a storing means comprise of a metal hydride to maintain the hydrogen occluding performance of metal hydride for a long time by providing a palladium group separating film for allowing gas to permeate close to a reforming device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have a storing mean being a metal hydride as taught by JP '264, in JP '672 in order to maintain the hydrogen occluding performance.

## Inquiry

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran
Examiner
Art Unit 1725

LT December 30, 2003

Kiley Stoner A. M. 1725 Hely How 1/5/04